Τ	nappens when we talk about the glossary is we
2	end up in these legal back and forth about
3	what constitutes operating, what constitutes,
4	you know, is this a system or not a system.
5	And if it's not operating as a system, is it
6	not operating? The question that is before us
7	is, as you point out, under 1.955A and C is,
8	have the stations been constructed? That's
9	the Part A of the
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: What does that mean
11	to you, constructed, the word constructed?
12	MS. KANE: Well, we agree with
13	Maritime on this that constructed for the
14	purposes of 1.955A would mean that it was
15	constructed, built out, and capable of
16	providing service.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: But it's not yet,
18	doesn't yet have to be operating, it can be
19	just sitting there in the way you've described
20	it.
21	MS. KANE: Constructed under
22	80.49A, which is a different section, would

1	require that it be constructed and operating.
2	So there needs to be some form of operation at
3	the time.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Or it's not
5	constructed.
6	MS. KANE: It's not constructed,
7	correct.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, anything
9	more? I'm trying to get your position on
10	this.
11	MS. KANE: I understand. Well our
12	position, and we very much appreciate the
13	chart that you included with the order and all
14	of the effort that that took to put together.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Isn't that
16	beautiful?
17	MS. KANE: It was, it was
18	fantastic. I'm glad I didn't have to do it.
19	But I think
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Don't make me the
21	ogre on this thing now.
22	MS. KANE: No, no. I think what

that chart showed is that the Bureau is in agreement with many of the terms that were proposed by Maritime in its glossary, including constructed. Now we did not understand constructed necessarily to be a legal conclusion.

And I know that the idea for this glossary was originated at a time when Your Honor was still trying to decide some of the discovery disputes that were between the parties. Right now, the only disputes that the Bureau has with Maritime over these terms doesn't include "constructed." We're fine with the definition of "constructed" the way But for the purposes of whether a it is. system was constructed, legally constructed, still require would operating we an However, based on the way the requirement. discovery has gone so far, we don't know that constructed is really going to be an issue going forward.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, what I'm

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

trying to avoid, and you know, this is a fine thing to say now, but when it comes down to proposed findings and conclusions, it's very interesting what can all of a sudden become very important and as we anticipate. And I don't want to be left at sea. And plus, also in addition, backing up even, if you're going to have witnesses testifying in court about construction or what's constructed, and let me just throw out the word constructed without an agreed definition, you're going to be able to interpret testimony a lot of ways. Let me get Mr. Havens in on this, or Mr. Chen, do you want your client to weight in --

MR. CHEN: Mr. Havens has been imminently involved with the construction of the glossary and Your Honor did invite him to take full part in it. And I would like to, I would request the court respectfully to give him that opportunity at this moment to opine on some of these issues.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Come ahead, right,

yes sir, Mr. Havens, go ahead.

MR. HAVENS: Yes, so in the SkyTel two filings starting with the glossary, they included the definition of constructed and construction and construction deadline. And those set forth, you know, our view. And then we had legal arguments to accompany those three.

And those definitely referred to Bureau and Commission precedent that in the relevant time period, AMCS was established for multi-site wide area system coverage, where a construction requirement, in our view under the precedent, did require construction and maintenance of multiple overlapping sites, so we believe that as part of the construction requirement.

But also there are other parts of construction requirements that we cited and we think are clear in the rules and precedents including that AMCS is CMRS, not required their connection, construction requires under

1	the rules we cited in precedent, service to
2	subscribers not merely a physical system
3	capable of operation or even in operation
4	sending a signal, but with subscribers.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Would you do me a
6	favor then with Mr. Chen? Would you assemble
7	these authorities and send me copies and send
8	copies to the parties, that Mr. Havens is
9	relying on?
10	MR. CHEN: Certainly, certainly do
11	that. Be very happy to outline and
12	suggestible form for everyone's benefit
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, attach a cover
14	letter and you can lay it in the way you think
15	it goes.
16	MR. CHEN: Very much I'd be happy
17	to do that for you, Your Honor.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. I was just
19	using now constructed as an example of one
20	thing that seemed to be causing, that there
21	was difficulty in getting to an agreement on.
22	MR HAVENS. I appreciate that

1	Your Honor, and I'd be happy to prepare that
2	or Mr. Chen will prepare that in all systems.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, thank you.
4	But as I understand this, your position is
5	that you can't have, now let's get down, the
6	issue talks about constructed or operated.
7	But you can't have a constructed station that
8	is not servicing an end user. In other words,
9	you can't call it constructed unless it's
10	serving an end user. Is that correct?
11	MR. HAVENS: Yes, that's our
12	position. And we will cite rules and
13	authorities.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. And
15	hold on just a second. You don't agree with
16	that.
17	MR. KELLER: No I don't, Your
18	Honor.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: And the Bureau does
20	not agree with that.
21	MS. KANE: We do not believe that
22	for all AMTS service, that you need to have an

1	end user customer, that there are uses that
2	are allowed for AMTS service that do not
3	require an end user customer. So it would be
4	inconsistent to say that construction would
5	require end user customers.
6	MR. HAVENS: Well I
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well that's, but
8	we're not going to argue it here. You're
9	going to give me your authorities. Let me ask
10	Pinnacle's counsel.
11	MR. PLACHE: No, we don't agree
12	with that.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: You don't agree
14	with Mr. Havens.
15	MR. PLACHE: It changes so much.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
17	MR. PLACHE: You're going to have
18	an effect, a ripple effect in all these other
19	services, even a change in the law
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, well
21	
	that's good enough, that's good enough. All

have, and I'm not going to spend a heck of a lot of time on this but I would like to get it pinned down. Somehow, if you could come to an agreement then I wouldn't have to reach a decision on it. And as the, what's going to be carried forward as the, as you want to call it, the law of the case on constructed.

And I'll give you an example from my experience. I was in a trust case one time involving paper mills. And there was actually an industry, a cottage industry of people that are just good at doing this. They'll go out and they'll find a location to put a paper mill and they'll take care of its construction from A to Z. And then, it's finished, it's completed, that person gets paid and it's called a turnkey facility, that is, you can go in, turn the key, and start. But it's got nothing to do with customers.

And what I was searching for, some kind of a similar meaning here. There's a lot of different ways of talking about a

1 constructed facility and with customers, with 2 end users. But constructed is constructed means constructed. That's where 3 I want to get this. But I can't force it 4 there if the industry thinks otherwise. 5 MS. KANE: Your Honor, our rules 6 in Section 80.49A require that for this type 7 of site-based license, it needs to be, in 8 9 order to be constructed it needs to be placed So that's why there has been 10 in operation. dispute about what constitutes 11 such 12 operation and in service and what constitutes 13 operating. So although we would not agree that there necessarily have to be a precise 14 15 customer, I'm just saying --

JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead, go ahead, I'm fine, yes.

MS. KANE: -- Your Honor, there is a requirement under our rules that in order to have met the construction requirement, that the license must be placed in operation within the time period. And then conversely --

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. KELLER: And we'd agree with that.

MS. KANE: -- conversely, when we deal with the second part of Issue G, which is have those operations discontinued, we've gotten away a little bit and we've been focusing on operating, but the language of the rule is, have operations been discontinued, have service been discontinued?

And so when we looked through the chart that you attached to the order and determined where there were still disputes with Maritime, there are disputes obviously with regard to operating, in operation, in service, which Maritime construes to be exactly the same thing. And not operating and not in service, because those are what is really going to be key to discerning the questions about Issue G.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well I see, but again, you changed the scenario that I was focused on. You're now getting into service,

operation, in service, that type of thing. 1 And I understand, I mean, I understand what 2 you're saying but it doesn't say, I have said 3 it so many times --4 MS. KANE: It's related to 5 construction, Your Honor, because it's related 6 to being the requirement of, in order to be 7 constructed for the purposes of Section 8 9 80.49A, you need to be constructed and placed in operation. I don't unfortunately have the 10 rule right in front of me, but the language is 11 placed in operation. And so we have always 12 believed --13 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, you do have 14 You had that in your brief. It says 15 that. 16 placed in operation within two years from the 17 grant. MS. KANE: Correct, Your Honor, so 18 we have always thought that the critical terms 19 were what constitutes in operation or 20 service, because those were relevant to both 21 figuring out the construction issue and also 22

the discontinuance of operation.

2.2

JUDGE SIPPEL: Now do you agree with that, this Maritime --

MR. KELLER: Well, I agree that 80.49A(1), actually there's two parts to the rule. Mr. Havens keeps saying a substantial service requirement. That's the first part of the rule which governs geographic licensees. But as to incumbent licensees, which this is, it does say that for incumbent licensees, it must be constructed and placed into operation in two years of the date of grant. So I agree that that's the rule.

I also think we're going to be able to agree very easily on the facts on the ground, in other words, in the common definition or the common meaning of the term that facilities are constructed. As Ms. Kane points out, we've put the same meaning on operational and in operation because in our view, and this is where we start to part ways, but this is a legal issue at this point not a

1 ||

JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh action's what it will come down to.

MR. KELLER: -- we start to part ways is yes, it is Maritime's position that a station can be deemed in operation whether or not it has subscribers or customers. Taking the constructed part of it, for example, when the Commission wants to impose a requirement to have a minimum number of customers in order to be deemed to be constructed, it spells that out in its rules.

For instance, in the SMR rules, I think it's 1.9 -- I don't remember the rule off the type of my head, but it basically, the rule expressly states that in order to be deemed constructed you have to get, a facility has to be constructed and serving at least X number of mobile units.

This rule certainly has no such requirement. You can construct a system, put it into operation, that doesn't mean you have

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

customers on Day 1, it doesn't mean you're always going to have customers. Secondly --

Well --

JUDGE SIPPEL:

MR. KELLER: -- secondly, later on from an operations, I mean, the question is to whether the lack of customers constitutes a discontinuance of operation. Again, there are services in which the Commission, and I cite SMR as an example, that have minimum loading requirements. If you don't maintain a certain level of customers or certain mobile units, your license can be, some channels can be taken away from you or in an extreme case, you know, your license can be basically cancelled because of that.

Not only does this service have no such requirement, there is at least one precedent that I've cited in one of my previews somewhere, where the Bureau expressly stated, the Wireless Bureau that is, or the Commission, expressly stated there are no loading requirements in the AMTS.

So this is just to say, as a legal matter, Maritime will maintain that if a station is, we would analogize it to say a soft drink machine, you know, sitting out in front of the store. It's in service, it's capable of providing soft drinks if somebody drops a quarter in, well, I guess a dollar nowadays, but it doesn't mean that anybody's going to come up and do that, you know? But it's in service because it's capable of doing that.

Now, if something goes wrong, if the machine malfunctions, it's out of service. In fact, there may be a sign hanging on it saying out of service. So we do maintain that whether or not the facility is operational is a factoral issue. But if it's operational, argue is it's in service. That's a legal argument. I'm sure that Mr. Havens will and the Bureau may or may not argue differently, and then you will make a decision on that. But yes, it is our position that you can be

1	constructed and you can also be in service
2	without necessarily having a customer right at
3	the moment.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. That's
5	consistent with your reply to the Bureau
6	MR. KELLER: Your Honor, could I
7	interrupt for just a moment?
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: anyway, this is
9	to do with your plea.
10	MR. KELLER: I just want to
11	interrupt for a moment just to take us, I
12	don't know if we're done with this issue but -
13	-
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: I hope so.
15	MR. KELLER: but we didn't do
16	appearances at the beginning and I was going
17	to state for you that here with us today is
18	Bob Kirk of the Wilkinson Barker Law Firm, who
19	will be representing the Choctaw Group.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Sure.
21	MR. KELLER: Last Thursday, just
22	by way of announcement, last Thursday, the

1	bankruptcy court confirmed the reorganization
2	plan and specified the Choctaw group as the
3	buyer of the assets, the licensed assets of
4	Maritime. In the very near future, that was
5	of course subject to prior FCC approval and
6	necessarily regulatory approvals. In the very
7	near future, applications will be filed for
8	those assignments of licensees. The formal
9	second Thursday relief will be requested and
10	we will be keeping you apprised of that as we
11	move forward.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: What's your time
13	frame, estimated time?
14	MR. KELLER: I'm not sure, but
15	I'll let Mr. Kirk speak to that.
16	MR. KIRK: Yes, I mean the goal
17	would be get them on file by the end of the
18	year, Your Honor, and as quickly as we can.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, well
20	MR. KIRK: The formal order of the
21	bankruptcy court has not yet been entered and
22	we're waiting for some materials from the

1	bankruptcy court to supplement our
2	application. But we will get them on file by
3	the end of the year is the intent.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you. And
5	welcome aboard, Mr. Kirk. You going to be in
6	this, you going to stay with this proceeding
7	as long as it's around or
8	MR. KIRK: We will, we'll be
9	intervening shortly. And hopefully it will
LO	not be like the Summer of Comcast that I spent
11	with you, Your Honor, no offense.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: That was a good
13	fight. I'm not going to count on it, I'm not
L4	counting, but well taken. Well taken, sir.
15	Okay
16	MS. KANE: Your Honor, we just
17	have a question about where we stand now with
18	regard to the glossary because I know
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm going to tell
20	you in a minute where you stand. There's
21	things that have to be done by Wednesday and
22	I'm going to, without knowing exactly where

1	this is going to fall, the following Wednesday
2	I'd like to have a proposed the current
3	proposed draft of the glossary so I can, you
4	know, see exactly where you stand as a, the
5	following Wednesday, which is what date? It's
6	December 5th. And the things that you can't
7	agree to, you know, highlight those in some
8	way. And the things that you're still
9	discussing, you know, highlight those some
10	way.
11	MS. KANE: Your Honor, since you
12	provided us with a chart, is it your
13	expectation that you would like a chart or
14	would you like there to be written support
15	like we did in our briefing before?
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, just as you
17	would, the glossary should just have a term
18	and a definition for the term, that's all.
19	MS. KANE: Understood, but if the
20	parties can't agree, how would you like, would
21	you like a separate briefing from the parties?

JUDGE SIPPEL:

22

just a

No, no,

1	statement that, I just want to get a current
2	status as to what is agreed to, what is not
3	agreed to, or it doesn't seem, to can't be
4	agreed to. And then those that are seriously
5	under discussion. In other words, if you're
6	at lager heads with two or three, set them
7	apart that way. If you're still discussing,
8	and hopefully discussing five others, then set
9	them forth that way, just so I know where you
10	are. Anything beyond that we can do it
11	another time.
12	MS. KANE: So you want just the,
13	you want the terms and the proposed
14	definition?
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, yes, we use
16	glossaries in those cases, and you can take a
17	look at one of those.
18	MS. KANE: No, I've looked at
19	that, Your Honor, I guess that just when we've
20	submitted our proposed glossary the last time,
21	there was a lot of legal argument that was
I	1

part of the briefing. And so I'm just trying

to clarify for me that you're not expecting to 1 see that this time. You're just --2 JUDGE SIPPEL: 3 No, no. MS. KANE: -- expecting to see the 4 5 terms. JUDGE SIPPEL: No, I just want to 6 7 see where you are. That's the only way I can do it. And then again, that's something we'll 8 9 take from there. Are you with me on that, Mr. Chen? 10 MR. CHEN: Yes, sir, Your Honor, I 11 12 believe that my understanding of what has 13 proceeded is on Wednesday, December 5th, you would like all parties to agree on those terms 14 15 that we've agreed on and highlight those terms 16 where we still disagree. I understood you 17 earlier, Your Honor, to invite a written 18 presentation of SkyTel's positions on the 19 terms such as construction which are still in 20 dispute, and share that memorandum with all 21 parties as well as with Your Honor.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. You can do it

1	in a cover letter form, it doesn't have to be
2	elongated, but if you want to submit your, you
3	know, a concise legal argument and add your
4	position, but I'm interested primarily in
5	getting the authorities that Mr. Havens was
6	referring to when he made his oral
7	MR. CHEN: Absolutely.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: and that's
9	really, so that we have it all pulled
10	together. And that would be
11	MR. CHEN: And what was it?
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well let me think,
13	I did give you a date on that, didn't I?
14	MR. CHEN: You have not, Your
15	Honor.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, okay. Well,
17	can you have that by next Wednesday, the 28th
18	of November?
19	MR. CHEN: That's an extremely
20	tight deadline in light of everything.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, well
22	let's make it, let's do 5 December then.

1	MR. CHEN: Okay.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Is that okay?
3	MR. CHEN: Mr. Havens, do you
4	think we can put that together by the 5th of
5	December?
6	MR. HAVENS: Well, I had to talk
7	to you about that. I'll certainly do my best
8	on my side. I have to give you a lot for you
9	to digest.
10	MR. CHEN: I understand that. And
11	then, Your Honor, I mean literally I have been
12	involved in this capacity with this case for
13	less than 24 hours. It is an extremely
14	aggressive deadline, we're doing our best
15	here. But I want to ensure that Mr. Havens's
16	arguments and legal rights are adequately
17	preserved.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you. Well,
19	that's all right. I didn't think that this
20	was too much of an imposition because it's
21	just a question of really pulling together the

authorities that Mr. Havens is relying on.

1 I'm assuming these are relatively short either rulings orders that 2 orders or on the Commission hands out from day to day, from 3 time to time. And your cover letter, you're 4 just sort of pulling it together and to sum it 5 up as to what it's all about. 6 But again, I 7 want to put you at a disadvantage 8 either. So the earlier I can get it the 9 better. And the parties too. Why don't we, if this 10 MR. CHEN: 11 is acceptable to Your Honor, we will make 12 absolute best effort to comply with the 13 December 5th deadline, with the understanding 14 that if, due to the exigencies of the case, we 15 might need a modest extension, we will request 16 it on a timely basis. 17 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, well request it please before the 5th of December if you 18 19 see that coming on. 20 Certainly, certainly. MR. CHEN: If we do see that there is a problem coming 21

down the pike we will be in touch with Your